Original Article



Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase *Gene rs1801131* and *rs1801133* Polymorphisms were Associated with Susceptibility to Coronary Artery Disease and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Huan Song^{1,2}, Zhenzhen Zhao³, Shousheng Liu³, Chunmei Li¹, Yong Zhou^{4*} and Yongning Xin^{1,3*}

¹Department of Infectious Disease, Qingdao Hospital, University of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences (Qingdao Municipal Hospital), Qingdao, Shandong, China; ²Dalian University Affiliated Xinhua Hospital, Dalian, Liaoning, China; ³Clinical Research Center, Qingdao Hospital, University of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences (Qingdao Municipal Hospital), Qingdao, Shandong, China; ⁴Qingdao Sixth People's Hospital, Qingdao, Shandong, China

Received: November 18, 2022 | Revised: January 05, 2023 | Accepted: April 10, 2023 | Published online: May 11, 2023

Abstract

Background and objectives: Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase (*MTHFR*) is the critical enzyme in folate and 1-carbon metabolism. *MTHFR* polymorphisms may result in increased homocysteine levels, and be associated with abnormal lipid metabolism in the liver. This study aims to explore the association between the gene polymorphisms of *MTHFR rs1801131* and *rs1801133* and the susceptibility to nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and coronary artery disease (CAD).

Methods: This case-control study included 103 NAFLD patients, 176 CAD patients, 94 patients with NAFLD complicated with CAD, and 183 healthy controls. Basic clinical information was collected, and all participants were genotyped using polymerase chain reaction. Data were analyzed by SPSS 26.0.

Results: The genotype distribution of *MTHFR rs1801131* does not exhibit a significant difference in the four groups (NAFLD, CAD, NAFLD+CAD, and Healthy controls) with all *p* values greater than 0.05. The genotype distribution of *MTHFR rs1801133* is significantly different in the four groups ($p_0 = 0.014$), while the allele distribution was not significant ($p_0 = 0.139$). In both the dominant model (TT vs CT+CC) and co-dominant model (TT+CC vs CT), the genotype distribution of *rs1801133* is statistically significant between the CAD and NAFLD+CAD, healthy controls and NAFLD+CAD, and NAFLD+CAD groups (all p < 0.05). In the NAFLD+CAD group, there are statistically significant differences in fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels among carriers with different genotypes (TT vs CT+CC: p = 0.047, TT+CC vs CT: p = 0.002).

Keywords: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; Coronary artery disease; Polymorphism; Lipids metabolism.

*Correspondence to: Yong Zhou, Department of Gastroenterology, Qingdao Sixth People's Hospital, 9 Fushun Road, North District, Qingdao, Shandong 266033, China. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4615-5879. Tel: +86-0532-81636858, E-mail: joana791010@163.com; Yongning Xin, Department of Infectious Diseases, Qingdao Hospital, University of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences (Qingdao Municipal Hospital), No.1 Jiaozhou Road, Qingdao, Shandong 266011, China. ORCID: https://orcid. org/0000-0002-3692-7655. Tel: 0532-88905508, Fax: 0532-85968434, Email: xinyongning@163.com

How to cite this article: Song H, Zhao Z, Liu S, Li C, Zhou Y, Xin Y. Methylenetetrahydrofolate Reductase *Gene rs1801131* and *rs1801133* Polymorphisms were Associated with Susceptibility to Coronary Artery Disease and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Gene Expr* 2023;22(2):102–108. doi: 10.14218/GE.2022.00016S. **Conclusions:** The C allele of *MTHFR rs1801133* is a risk factor for NAFLD+CAD. The CT genotype of *MTHFR rs1801133* is associated with FPG level in patients with NAFLD complicated with CAD.

Introduction

Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) is a clinicopathological syndrome characterized by excessive deposition of fat in liver cells, which is closely related to insulin resistance and genetic susceptibility and is caused by alcohol and other clear liver damage factors.^{1,2} The risk factors for NAFLD include a high-fat diet, a high-calorific diet, a sedentary lifestyle, insulin resistance, and metabolic syndrome,^{3–5} which are all risk factors for cardiovascular disease.^{2,6} Coronary artery disease (CAD) refers to coronary artery atherosclerosis caused by lumen stenosis or occlusion, result-

Abbreviations: ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transpeptadase; Hcy, homocysteine; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; OR, odd ratio; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Song H. et al: MTHFR gene and the risk of CAD and NAFLD

ing in myocardial ischemia, hypoxia, or necrosis caused by heart disease. Cardiovascular diseases have become the main cause of death globally, with more than 17.6 million deaths in 2016, and the number is expected to grow to more than 23.6 million by 2030.⁷ NAFLD and CAD are complex diseases resulting from the presence of susceptibility genes combined with environmental exposure.

MTHFR rs1801133 and *rs1801131* are the most common genetic mutations of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTH-FR*).⁸ PolyPhen was used to predict the effect of the SNP site on proteins, the results showed that *rs1801131* and *rs1801133* may lead to impaired protein function, which may affect the function of *MTHFR. MTHFR* is the critical enzyme in folate 1-carbon and homocysteine (Hcy) metabolism.^{9,10} It has been reported that increased serum Hcy levels may affect intracellular fat metabolism and promote liver fat infiltration, leading to NAFLD.¹¹ Studies by Xie Jun *et al.*¹⁰ showed that a history of high Hcy is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Increased circulating levels of homocysteine accelerate atherosclerosis through several mechanisms.^{10,11} Some studies support the association of polymorphisms with susceptibility to NAFLD and CAD,^{8,12,13} while others do not.^{14–16}

This study aims to explore the association between *MTHFR* gene rs1801131 and rs1801133 polymorphisms and the susceptibility of NAFLD and CAD.

Subjects and methods

Study subjects

This case-control study was approved by the Qingdao Hospital Ethics Committee (Approval NO. 2017-20), and was based on the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its appendices.¹⁷ All the subjects were informed and signed an informed agreement upon joining this study. From June 2018 to June 2019, a total of 556 patients from Qingdao Municipal Hospital participated in the study, including 103 NAFLD patients, 176 CAD patients, 94 patients with NAFLD complicated with CAD (NAFLD+CAD), and 183 healthy controls. The NAFLD patients were diagnosed according to the Guidelines of prevention and treatment of nonal-coholic fatty liver disease (2018),¹⁸ while the CAD patients were diagnosed according to the Guidelines for Diagnosis and Treatment of Stable Coronary Heart Disease.¹⁹ None of the patients with abnormal blood glucose content in this study was diagnosed with diabetes.

Biochemical analyses

Basic clinical information was collected such as sex, age, height, and weight. The body mass index (BMI) could be calculated by mass (kg)/height (m²). Fasting blood was taken from the subjects to test their biochemical parameters, such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), gamma-glutamyl transpeptadase (GGT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total bilirubin.

Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping

Whole blood genomic DNA was extracted (blood genomic DNA extraction kit; Beijing Bomiao Biotechnology Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) and stored at 20°C. *MTHFR rs1801133* and *rs1801131* were genotyped by the polymerase chain reaction combined with sequencing, and specific steps were described in the refer-

ences.²⁰ Primer sequence of *MTHFR* was as follows: *rs1801133*, 5'-ACGTTGGATGCTTGAAGGAGAAGGTGTCTG-3' and 5'-A CGTTGGATGACACGTTGGATGCTTCACAAAGCGGAA-GAATG-3'; *rs1801131*, 5'-ACGTTGGATGTGAAGAGCAAGTC CCCCAAG-3' and 5'-ACGTTGGATGCCGAGAGGTAAAGAAC GAAG-3'. *MTHFR rs1801131* showed that there were three genotypes: AA, CC, and AC, and *MTHFR rs1801133* showed that there were three genotypes: TT, CC, and CT.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.0. Pearson's χ^2 test was used to analyze the Hardy-Weinberg balance. Genotypes, allele frequencies, and other qualitative data comparisons were tested by Pearson's χ^2 test. After normality tests, continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range) for normal and abnormal distributed parameters, respectively. The measurement data were tested by the *t*-test and Wilcoxon rank sum test. The association between SNPs and the risk of NAFLD and CAD was estimated by computing odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). *p* < 0.05 was statistically significant.

Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

The general clinical data and biochemical indicators were compared in Table 1. The NAFLD patients had higher BMI values and serum levels of FPG, ALT, GGT, TC, TG, and LDL than the healthy controls (all p < 0.05), with the two groups matched for gender (all p > 0.05); The CAD patients had higher BMI values and serum levels of FPG, ALT, GGT, and ALP than the healthy controls, besides, the serum level of TC, HDL, and LDL in CAD patients was significantly lower compared to the healthy controls (all p < 0.05); The NAFLD+CAD patients had higher BMI values and serum levels of FPG, ALT, GGT, and ALP than the healthy controls, besides, the serum level of HDL patients had higher BMI values and serum levels of FPG, ALT, GGT, and ALP than the healthy controls, besides, the serum level of HDL and LDL in CAD patients was significantly lower compared to the healthy controls (all p < 0.05).

Genotypes and alleles distributions of MTHFR rs1801131 and rs1801133

The distribution of *MTHFR rs1801131* and *rs1801133* polymorphisms in healthy controls was consistent with the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (*rs1801131*: $\chi^2 = 0.094$, p = 0.954; *rs1801133*: $\chi^2 = 0.482$, p = 0.786). There was no significant difference in the genotype distribution and allele frequency of *rs1801131* among the four groups (NAFLD, CAD, NAFLD+CAD, and Healthy controls) (all p > 0.05) (Table 2).

The genotype distribution of *rs1801133* was statistically different among the four groups (NAFLD, CAD, NAFLD+CAD, and Healthy controls) (p = 0.014), while the allele distribution was the same among the 4 groups (p = 0.139). Moreover, there were significant differences in the allele distribution of *rs1801133* between the NAFLD+CAD and CAD groups ($p_2 = 0.021$). The genotypes of the three groups (NAFLD, CAD, and Healthy controls) were statistically different from those of the NAFLD+CAD group (all p < 0.05) (Table 3).

Analysis of MTHFR rs1801133 genotype model

Analysis of the MTHFR rs1801133 genotypes model showed

	Healthy controls (n = 183)	NAFLD (n = 103)	CAD (n = 176)	NAFLD+CAD (n = 94)	P ₀
Male/Female	104.00/79.00	69.00/34.00	116.00/60.00	68.00/26.00#	0.055
Age, y	47.00 (40.00, 57.00)	43.00 (38.00, 45.00)#	66.00 (59.20, 75.75)	63.00 (57.00 <i>,</i> 68.00) [#]	<0.001
BMI, kg/m ²	23.60 ± 3.19	26.24 ± 2.56 [#]	24.59 ± 3.22 [#]	25.08 ± 2.67 [#]	< 0.001
FPG, mmol/L	4.57 (4.06, 5.05)	4.85 (4.52, 5.21)#	5.21 (4.55, 6.43)#	5.42 (4.80, 6.07)#	<0.001
ALT, U/L	19.02 (13.36, 26.58)	22.67 (18.30, 39.44)#	21.85 (14.98, 32.22)#	22.67 (15.36, 32.45)#	<0.001
AST, U/L	20.87 (18.84, 25.04)	22.20 (18.77, 26.21)	22.34 (17.08, 34.49)	21.50 (16.80, 32.10)	0.515
GGT, U/L	22.43 (16.45, 30.44)	30.09 (20.19, 45.27)#	27.35 (18.75, 41.58)#	26.11 (18.25, 43.93)#	< 0.001
ALP, U/L	69.31 (55.98, 83.91)	67.36 (57.40, 79.17)	82.71 (64.59, 107.38)#	82.50 (70.99 <i>,</i> 98.06) [#]	<0.001
TC, mmol/L	5.00 (4.20, 5.64)	5.44 (4.96 <i>,</i> 5.99) [#]	4.48 (3.77, 5.35)#	4.25 (3.83, 5.51)	< 0.001
TG, mmol/L	1.21 (0.90, 1.94)	1.49 (1.08, 2.20)#	1.36 (0.99, 1.86)	1.35 (0.94, 2.08)	0.177
HDL, mmol/L	1.28 (1.07, 1.51)	1.22 (1.08, 1.35)	1.01 (0.85, 1.16)#	1.05 (0.88, 1.19)#	< 0.001
LDL, mmol/L	3.06 (2.64, 3.54)	3.27 (2.82, 3.59)#	2.69 (2.07, 3.30)#	2.51 (2.14, 3.37)#	<0.001

[#]NAFLD, CAD and NAFLD+CAD were compared with Healthy controls respectively, *p* < 0.05, which was statistically significant; *p*₀: Healthy controls vs NAFLD vs CAD vs NAFLD+CAD. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GGT, y-glutamyltransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; TC, total cholesterol; TG, Triglyceride.

that the genotype distribution was statistically significant under the dominant model (TT vs CT+CC) and the co-dominant model (TT+CC vs CT) (all p < 0.05). After adjusting for age, BMI, and gender, there was no statistical significance between the NAFLD and NAFLD+CAD groups. (TT vs CT+CC: $p_1 = 0.074$, TT+CC vs CT: $p_1 = 0.881$), but there remained a statistical difference in other groups (all p < 0.05) (Table 4).

Association of MTHFR rs1801131 and rs1801133 gene polymorphism with clinical parameters characteristics in all subjects

The clinical data of all participants were compared between carriers and non-carriers of the *rs1801131* allele A, and the differences

	NAFLD+CAD	NAFLD	CAD	Healthy controls	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Genotypes								
AA	79 (73.8)	80 (76.1)	139 (77.7)	138 (74.2)	0.684	1.000	0.957	0.278
CC	2 (1.9)	1 (1.0)	0 (0)	3 (1.6)				
AC	26 (24.3)	24 (22.9)	40 (22.3)	45 (24.2)				
Alleles								
С	30 (14.0)	26 (12.4)	40 (11.2)	51 (13.7)	0.695	0.917	0.650	0.300
А	184 (86.0)	184 (87.6)	318 (88.8)	321 (86.3)				

 Table 2. Distributions of the MTHFR rs1801131 genotypes and alleles in the study groups

 p_0 : NAFLD+CAD vs NAFLD vs CAD vs Healthy controls p_1 : NAFLD+CAD vs Healthy controls p_2 : NAFLD vs Healthy controls p_3 : CAD vs Healthy controls; p < 0.05 was statistically significant. CAD, coronary artery disease; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

			-					
	NAFLD+CAD	NAFLD	CAD	Healthy controls	P ₀	P ₁	P ₂	P ₃
Genotypes								
TT	16 (16.0)	35 (33.0)	64 (36.2)	63 (33.7)	0.014	0.009	0.001	0.002
CC	13 (13.0)	16 (15.1)	23 (13.0)	29 (15.5)				
СТ	71 (71.0)	55 (51.9)	90 (50.8)	95 (50.8)				
Alleles								
Т	103 (51.5)	125 (59.0)	218 (61.6)	221 (59.1)	0.139	0.128	0.021	0.081
С	97 (48.5)	87 (41.0)	136 (38.4)	153 (40.9)				

 p_0 : NAFLD+CAD vs NAFLD vs CAD vs Healthy controls p_1 : NAFLD+CAD vs NAFLD p_2 : NAFLD+CAD vs CAD p_3 : NAFLD+CAD vs Healthy controls; p < 0.05 was statistically significant. CAD, coronary artery disease; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.

CAD OR 95%CI P_1 CAD FCAD OR 95%CI P_1 Controls FCAD OR 13 1.190 (0.541-2.619) 0.666 154 87 1.000 (0.482-2.072) 0.999 158 87 1.228 13 2 23 13 23 13 29 13 2.667 14 2.5588 (1.324-5.061) 0.005 64 16 2.973 (1.605-5.507) 0.001 63 16 2.667 84 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.192 (1.678-6.071) 40.01 84 2.667 84 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.423 16 2.677 84 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 12 84 124 84 12 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.001 63 12 2.371 12 1.256 1.403-3.392			NAFID					NAFLD				Healthv	NAFID				
Recessive model 1 1 <		NAFLD	+CAD	OR	95%CI	P_1	CAD			95%CI	P_2	controls	+CAD	OR	95%CI	ۍ ع	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Recessive mode	-															
CC 16 13 23 13 29 13 Dominant model 35 16 2.588 (1.324-5.061) 0.005 64 16 2.973 (1.605-5.507) 0.01 63 16 2.465 (1.443-4). Cr+CC 71 84 2.343 (1.605-5.507) 0.001 63 16 2.465 (1.443-4). Dominant model* 13 84 2.3192 (1.678-6.071) 6.001 63 16 2.423 (1.623-7). Cr+CC 71 84 7 2.44 84 84 7 84 7 Cr+CC 71 84 16 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.423 (1.623-7) Cr+CC 71 84 7 124 84 7 84 7 84 16 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-7 16.23-1	TT+CT	06	87	1.190	(0.541–2.619)	0.666	154		000.	(0.482–2.072)	0.999	158	87	1.228	(0.607–2.485)	0.567	
Dominant model 16 2.588 (1.324-5.061) 0.005 64 16 2.973 1605-5.507 0.001 63 16 2.667 (1.443-4.1) TT 35 16 2.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.192 (1.678-6.071) <0.001	CC	16	13				23	13				29	13				
TT 35 16 2.588 (1.324-5.061) 0.005 64 15 2.973 (1.605-5.507) 0.001 63 16 2.667 (1.443-4.1) CT+CC 71 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 84 1 1 1 84 1 1 1 84 1 1 1 84 1 1 1 84 1 <td>Dominant mod</td> <td>5</td> <td></td>	Dominant mod	5															
	TT	35	16	2.588	(1.324–5.061)	0.005	64		.973	(1.605–5.507)	0.001	63	16	2.667	(1.443-4.932)	0.002	
Dominant model ^a TT 35 16 1.391 (0.198–9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.192 (1.678–6.071) <0.001 63 16 3.423 (1.623–7). CT+CC 71 84 133 84 124 84 124 84 Cr+CC 71 29 2.270 (1.276–4.038) 0.005 87 29 2.367 (1.403–3.992) 0.001 93 29 2.371 (1.412–3. Co-dominant model 11 84 29 2.367 (1.403–3.992) 0.001 93 29 2.371 (1.412–3. Co-dominant model 11 84 29 21 93 71 93 71 93 71 Co-dominant model 11 84 29 2.468 (1.412–3.26 93 71 93 71 93 71 Co-dominant model 29 21 93 29 23 29 71 13.72–41	CT+CC	71	84				113	84				124	84				
TT 35 16 1.391 (0.198-9.786) 0.740 64 16 3.192 (1.678-6.071) 60.001 63 16 3.423 (1.623-7). CT+CC 71 84 113 84 124 84 84 Co-dominant model 12 29 2.270 (1.276-4.038) 0.005 87 29 2.367 (1.403-3.992) 0.001 93 29 71 84 Co-dominant model 1 29 2.370 (1.4103-3.992) 0.001 93 29 71 84 24 243	Dominant mod	ela															
CT+CC 71 84 113 84 124 84 Co-dominant model TT+CC 51 29 2.270 (1.276–4.038) 0.005 87 29 2.357 (1.412–3.92) 29 2.371 (1.412–3.12) CT 55 71 92 2.370 (1.412–3.12) 95 71 95 71 Co-dominant model ^a 1 29 71 95 71 95 71 95 71 172–3.1 Co-dominant model ^a 1 29 71 95 71 95 71 95 74.1 Co-dominant model ^a 1 29 2.468 (1.433–4.251) 0.001 93 29 73.4 73.7-4.1 Co-dominant model ^a 1 29 71 95 71 95 74.1 Co-dominant model ^a 1 29 21 95 71 95 74.1 RI-Lo-CD 55 71 95 71 95 <td>Ħ</td> <td>35</td> <td>16</td> <td>1.391</td> <td>(0.198–9.786)</td> <td>0.740</td> <td>64</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(1.678–6.071)</td> <td><0.001</td> <td>63</td> <td>16</td> <td>3.423</td> <td>(1.623–7.222)</td> <td>0.001</td>	Ħ	35	16	1.391	(0.198–9.786)	0.740	64			(1.678–6.071)	<0.001	63	16	3.423	(1.623–7.222)	0.001	
Co-dominant model TT+CC 51 29 2.270 (1.276–4.038) 0.005 87 29 2.367 (1.403–3.992) 0.001 93 29 2.371 (1.412–3.1) CT 55 71 90 71 90 71 95 71 95 71 Co-dominant model ^a TT+CC 51 29 0.001 93 29 2.374 (1.412–3.1) Co-dominant model ^a 90 71 95 71 95 71 TT+CC 5 71 0.001 93 2.371 (1.412–3.1) TT+CC 5 71 95 71 Other model TT+CC 55 71 95 71 Other model 71 737 7372-41 TT+CC <th colsp<="" td=""><td>CT+CC</td><td>71</td><td>84</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>113</td><td>84</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>124</td><td>84</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th>	<td>CT+CC</td> <td>71</td> <td>84</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>113</td> <td>84</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>124</td> <td>84</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	CT+CC	71	84				113	84				124	84			
TT+CC 51 29 2.370 (1.276-4.038) 0.005 87 29 2.367 (1.403-3.992) 0.001 93 29 2.371 (1.412-3.102) CT 55 71 90 71 90 71 95 71 95 71 95 71 712-3.102 Co-dominant model ^a TT+CC 51 29 71 95 71 95 71 72-4.102 CT 55 71 0.881 87 29 2.468 (1.433-4.251) 0.001 93 29 2.584 (1.372-4.102) Sitery logistic regression models with analyse proteory state and body mass index (BMI). Dominant model: TF or Creation and and instructors of the analyse and the analyse and the analyse proteory and the analyse and the analyse and the analyse proteory and the analyse anananalyse and the ananananana analyse ananananana ana	Co-dominant m	lodel															
CT 55 71 90 71 95 71 Co-dominant model ^a 95 71 Co-dominant model ^a 92 2584 (1.372-4.1) TT+CC 51 29 0.880 (0.165-4.691) 0.881 87 29 2.468 (1.433-4.251) 0.001 93 29 2.584 (1.372-4.1) CT 55 71 90 71 95 71	TT+CC	51	29	2.270	(1.276-4.038)	0.005	87		.367	(1.403–3.992)	0.001	93	29	2.371	(1.412–3.982)	0.001	
Co-dominant model ^a TT+CC 51 29 0.880 (0.165–4.691) 0.881 87 29 2.468 (1.433–4.251) 0.001 93 29 2.584 (1.372–4.5) CT 55 71 90 71 95 71 ^{**} Binary logistic regression models with an adjustment for age, gender, and body mass index (BMI); Dominant model: TT vs CT+CC recessive model: TT+CT vs CC co-dominant model: TT+CC vs CT; <i>p</i> ₁ : NAFLD+CAD vs healthy controls. CAD, coronary artery disease: MTHFR, methylenetertahydrofolate reductase: NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.	CT	55	71				06	71				95	71				
TT+CC 51 29 0.880 (0.165-4.691) 0.881 87 29 2.468 (1.433-4.251) 0.001 93 29 2.584 (1.372-4.1) CT 55 71 90 71 95 71 ^a Binary logistic regression models with an adjustment for age, gender, and body mass index (BMI); Dominant model: TT vs CT+CC recessive model: TT+CT vs CC co-dominant model: TT+CC vs CT; p_1 : NAFLD+1	Co-dominant m	odela															
CT 55 71 95 71 95 71 90 71 90 71 90 71 91 92 92 71 92 92 71 93 92 91 95 92 93 95 91 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95 95	TT+CC	51	29	0.880		0.881	87		.468	(1.433–4.251)	0.001	93	29	2.584	(1.372–4.867)	0.003	
^a Binary logistic regression models with an adjustment for age, gender, and body mass index (BMI); Dominant model: TT vs CT+CC recessive model: TT+CT vs CC co-dominant model: TT+CC vs CT; p_1 : NAFLD+1 NAFLD+CAD vs CAD p_2 : NAFLD+CAD Vs CAD Vs CAD p_2 : NAFLD+CAD Vs CAD Vs CAD p_2 : NAFLD+CAD Vs CAD Vs CAD Vs CAD p_2 : NAFLD+CAD Vs CAD Vs	СТ	55	71				06	71				95	71				
	^a Binary logistic regre: NAFLD+CAD vs CAD $ ho$	ssion models v ₃ : NAFLD+CAE	vith an adju) vs healthy	stment for a controls. CA	age, gender, and body vD, coronary artery dis	' mass inde sease; MTH	x (BMI); I IFR, meth	Dominant mode Iylenetetrahydru	el: TT vs (ofolate re	CT+CC recessive moc eductase; NAFLD, no	del: TT+CT v nalcoholic f	s CC co-domin atty liver disea	ant model: T ˈse.	T+CC vs C	$\mathbf{f}; p_1$: NAFLD+CAD vs	NAFLD <i>p</i> _2:	

Song H. et al: MTHFR gene and the risk of CAD and NAFLD

	ττ	CC+CT	Statistics (t/z)	Р
Age, y	62.63 ± 7.80	61.79 ± 7.57	0.405	0.687
BMI, kg/m ²	25.67 ± 2.24	24.99 ± 2.66	0.958	0.341
FPG, mmol/L	5.13 (4.79, 5.41)	5.58 (4.82, 6.52)	-1.984	0.047
ALT, U/L	22.50 (13.14, 39.48)	22.71 (16.03, 32.77)	-0.188	0.851
AST, U/L	22.93 (17.29, 41.75)	21.60 (16.92, 31.52)	-0.498	0.618
GGT, U/L	29.93 (19.48, 46.60)	25.64 (18.12, 42.51)	-0.672	0.501
ALP, U/L	83.21 (76.90, 102.59)	80.69 (69.09, 95.69)	-0.846	0.397
TC, mmol/L	4.25 (3.96, 5.57)	4.22 (3.78, 5.46)	-0.155	0.877
TG, mmol/L	1.34 (1.00, 1.87)	1.32 (0.95, 2.11)	-0.141	0.888
HDL, mmol/L	1.06 (0.98, 1.18)	1.02 (0.85, 1.19)	-1.020	0.308
LDL, mmol/L	2.55 (2.21, 3.36)	2.50 (2.02, 3.39)	-0.028	0.977
TBIL, umol/L	13.05 (9.93, 14.30)	14.15 (10.73, 17.10)	-1.133	0.257

p < 0.05 was statistically significant. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GGT, γ-glutamyltransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; TC, total cholesterol; TBIL, total bilirubin; TG, triglyceride.

were not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

The clinical data of healthy controls, NAFLD, and CAD patients were compared between the homozygous (TT+CC) and heterozygous (CT) genotypes of the *rs1801133*, and the differences were not statistically significant (p > 0.05). In the NAFLD+CAD group, FPG levels of different genotypes were statistically different (Dominant model: p = 0.047, Co-dominant model: p = 0.002) (Tables 5 and 6).

Discussion

The findings of the present study provide a comprehensive understanding of the correlation between *MTHFR* rs1801131 and rs1801133 polymorphism in *MTHFR* and the susceptibility to

NAFLD and CAD in China.

As mentioned in the introduction, MTHFR, as a key enzyme, is involved in the occurrence and development of NAFLD and CAD diseases by regulating Hcy metabolism. MTHFR polymorphisms may be closely related to NAFLD and CAD susceptibility. Although some studies have shown that the *rs1801131* genotype is associated with CAD susceptibility,^{13–15} other studies showed that MTHFR *rs1801131* polymorphism had no significant relationship with CAD.^{15,21,22} There are also inconsistent results in studies on the correlation between *rs1801131* polymorphisms and NAFLD susceptibility.^{21,23,24} In the Turkish and Italian populations, *rs1801131* polymorphism was significantly associated with NAFLD,^{23,24} while in the Chinese population, *rs1801131* polymorphism was not associated with NAFLD.²¹ In this study, no corre-

	TT+CC	СТ	Statistics (t/z)	Р
Age, y	62.17 ± 8.20	61.82 ± 7.36	0.212	0.833
BMI, kg/m ²	24.90 ± 2.41	25.18 ± 2.68	-0.485	0.629
FPG, mmol/L	5.01 (4.57, 5.51)	5.64 (4.89, 6.74)	-3.073	0.002
ALT, U/L	18.15 (14.94, 33.09)	22.84 (16.63, 32.89)	-0.574	0.566
AST, U/L	21.52 (18.64, 54.64)	21.88 (16.83, 28.83)	-0.593	0.554
GGT, U/L	25.44 (17.94, 36.64)	27.09 (18.49, 44.92)	-0.479	0.632
ALP, U/L	82.40 (70.25, 98.11)	83.72 (70.19, 96.39)	-0.406	0.684
TC, mmol/L	4.27 (3.75, 5.70)	4.21 (3.79, 5.42)	-0.266	0.790
TG, mmol/L	1.37 (0.95, 2.06)	1.31 (0.96, 2.13)	-0.562	0.574
HDL, mmol/L	1.03 (0.89, 1.16)	1.04 (0.85, 1.20)	-0.167	0.867
LDL, mmol/L	2.61 (2.08, 3.35)	2.46 (2.03, 3.40)	-0.034	0.973
TBIL, umol/L	13.00 (9.95, 14.75)	14.30 (10.80, 17.70)	-1.516	0.130

p < 0.05 was statistically significant. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; CAD, coronary artery disease; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; GGT, γ-glutamyltransferase; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; TC, total cholesterol; TBIL, total bilirubin; TG, triglyceride.

Song H. et al: MTHFR gene and the risk of CAD and NAFLD

lation was found between rs1801131 polymorphism and NAFLD and CAD susceptibility (p > 0.05). Allele A frequencies in this study (86.3%) were consistent with the Chinese Beijing population (A 81.6%).²⁵ This difference may be due to regional, lifestyle, and ethnic differences. Qingdao's economy is relatively developed: the local people enjoy good nutrition and eat more seafood.

The correlation between rs1801133 polymorphism and NAFLD and CAD susceptibility is also controversial. For MTHFR rs1801133, T allele frequencies in this study (59.1%) were consistent with the Chinese Tianjin population (T 56%)²⁶ and differed from the Chinese Beijing population (T 41.3%).²⁵ Some studies showed that the T allele of rs1801133 gene polymorphism was a risk factor for CAD.25,27 while some Chinese studies showed that the CT genotype might be the susceptibility factor of CAD patients.²¹ A Meta-analysis conducted by Sun et al. revealed that MTHFR rs1801133 gene polymorphism was implicated in susceptibility to NAFLD.8 Literature shows that the genotype frequency of MTHFR rs1801133 varies greatly by race.^{28,29} Our study showed that MTHFR rs1801133 gene polymorphism was not associated with the risk of CAD or NAFLD, however, MTHFR rs1801133 polymorphism was associated with the risk of NAFLD complicated with CAD. There are no other studies on the correlation between polymorphism and susceptibility to NAFLD and CAD. According to our results, for healthy people, NAFLD, and CAD patients, rs1801133 polymorphism was associated with the risk of NAFLD combined with CAD disease. In this study, different gene models were used to analyze the genotype distribution of rs1801133 polymorphism. In the codominant model, the CT genotype of MTHFR rs1801133 was a risk factor for NAFLD combined with CAD, while in the dominant model, the CT+CC genotype was a risk factor for NAFLD combined with CAD. This is not completely consistent with other studies on NAFLD or CAD. Considering the complexity of the disease and the absence of relevant references, the rationality of the results of this study cannot be denied.

MTHFR rs1801133 could affect the total serum Hcy level, which might affect the risk of Type 2 diabetes (T2DM).³⁰ *MTHFR rs1801133* polymorphism was found to be significantly associated with T2DM.^{31,32} Different meta-analyses showed a significant relationship between *rs1801133* polymorphism and T2DM.^{33,34} Elevated FPG (\geq 7.0 mmol/L) is currently used to diagnose T2DM.⁹

In this study, the CT genotype and CC+CT genotype of *MTH-FR rs1801133* were associated with an increased FPG level in NAFLD+CAD patients (both p < 0.05). Given that *rs1801133* polymorphisms were strongly associated with diabetes risk, it was reasonable to influence FPG levels in the patients with NAFLD complicated with CAD. This study has its limitations in that all samples were only collected in Qingdao, China, which has regional limitations. Compared with the south of China, the taste in food of the Qingdao area is heavy; People there like to eat pickled food, the dietary structure protein fat content is higher, and people generally eat more. Qingdao produces seafood, and the seafood intake is higher than in other areas. Also, the diagnosis of fatty liver relied on ultrasound examinations and liver biopsy was not performed.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the CT genotype and CC+CT genotype of *MTHFR rs1801133* were the risk factors for NAFLD combined with CAD. The CT genotype of *MTHFR rs1801133* was associated with the up-regulation of FPG levels in patients with NAFLD combined with CAD.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Funding

The work was supported in part by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32171277).

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflict of interests related to this publication.

Author contributions

Study concept and design (XYN and ZY); subjects collection (SH and LCM); acquisition and analysis of data (SH and ZZZ); drafting of the manuscript (SH and ZZZ); the revision of the manuscript (LSS, XYN, and ZY). Huan Song and Zhenzhen Zhao contributed equally to the article and are first authors, while Yongning Xin and Yong Zhou are corresponding authors. All authors have made a significant contribution to this study and have approved the final manuscript.

Ethical statement

This case-control study was approved by the Qingdao Hospital Ethics Committee (Approval NO. 2017-20), and was based on the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and its appendices. All the subjects were informed and signed an informed agreement upon joining this study.

Data sharing statement

The data used in support of the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author at xinyongning@163.com upon request.

References

- [1] Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Rafiq N, Henry L, Loomba R, Makhlouf H, et al. Nonalcoholic steatofibrosis independently predicts mortality in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol Commun 2017;1(5):421– 428. doi:10.1002/hep4.1054, PMID:29404470.
- [2] Younossi ZM, Koenig AB, Abdelatif D, Fazel Y, Henry L, Wymer M. Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-Meta-analytic assessment of prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. Hepatology 2016;64(1):73–84. doi:10.1002/hep.28431, PMID:26707365.
- [3] Fan JG, Farrell GC. Epidemiology of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in China. J Hepatol 2009;50(1):204–210. doi:10.1016/j. jhep.2008.10.010, PMID:19014878.
- [4] Fan JG, Saibara T, Chitturi S, Kim BI, Sung JJ, Chutaputti A, et al. What are the risk factors and settings for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in Asia-Pacific? J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;22(6):794–800. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1746.2007.04952.x, PMID:17498218.
- [5] Vernon G, Baranova A, Younossi ZM. Systematic review: the epidemiology and natural history of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis in adults. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011;34(3):274–285. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2011.04724.x, PMID:21623852.
- [6] Ford ES. Risks for all-cause mortality, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes associated with the metabolic syndrome: a summary of the evidence. Diabetes Care 2005;28(7):1769–1778. doi:10.2337/diacare.28.7.1769, PMID:15983333.

Gene Expr

- [7] Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Alonso A, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2019 update: A report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2019;139(10):e56– e528. doi:10.1161/CIR.000000000000659, PMID:30700139.
- [8] Sun MY, Zhang L, Shi SL, Lin JN. Associations between Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) risk: A meta-analysis. PLoS One 2016;11(4):e0154337. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0154337, PMID:271 28842.
- [9] Wang C, Xie H, Lu D, Ling Q, Jin P, Li H, et al. The MTHFR polymorphism affect the susceptibility of HCC and the prognosis of HCC liver transplantation. Clin Transl Oncol 2018;20(4):448–456. doi:10.1007/ s12094-017-1729-8, PMID:29185200.
- [10] Xie J, Chu L, Liu J. Evaluation of plasma Hcy and sdLDL in cardiovascular events in patients with coronary heart disease complicated with hyperlipidemia. Labeled Immunoassay Clin Med 2018;25(2):253– 257. doi:10.11748/bjmy.issn.1006-1703.2018.02.026.
- [11] Targher G, Marra F, Marchesini G. Increased risk of cardiovascular disease in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: causal effect or epiphenomenon? Diabetologia 2008;51(11):1947–1953. doi:10.1007/ s00125-008-1135-4, PMID:18762907.
- [12] Kasapoglu B, Turkay C, Yalcin KS, Kosar A, Bozkurt A. MTHFR 677C/T and 1298A/C mutations and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Clin Med (Lond) 2015;15(3):248–251. doi:10.7861/clinmedicine.15-3-248, PMID:26031974.
- [13] Sinha E, Walia GK, Mukhopadhyay R, Samtani R, Gupta BP, Ghosh PK, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphism: An independent risk determinant of coronary heart disease in an endogamous population from Delhi (India). e-SPEN 2010;5(5):e213–e218. doi:10.1016/j.eclnm.2010.07.005.
- [14] Amani S, Mirzajani E, Kassaee SM, Mahmoudi M, Mirbolouk F. The association of methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) A1298C gene polymorphism, homocysteine, vitamin B12, and folate with coronary artery disease (CAD) in the north of Iran. Turk J Biochem 2020;45(6):851–857. doi:10.1515/tjb-2019-0340.
- [15] Freitas Al, Mendonça I, Guerra G, Brión M, Reis RP, Carracedo A, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene, homocysteine and coronary artery disease: the A1298C polymorphism does matter. Inferences from a case study (Madeira, Portugal). Thromb Res 2008;122(5):648– 656. doi:10.1016/j.thromres.2008.02.005, PMID:18384842.
- [16] Serin E, Güçlü M, Ataç FB, Verdi H, Kayaselçuk F, Ozer B, et al. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T mutation and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Dig Dis Sci 2007;52(5):1183–1186. doi:10.1007/ s10620-006-9565-7, PMID:17356914.
- [17] Fan JG, Jia JD, Li YM, Wang BY, Lu LG, Shi JP, *et al*. Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: update 2010: (published in Chinese on Chinese Journal of Hepatology 2010; 18:163-166). J Dig Dis 2011;12(1):38–44. doi:10.1111/j.1751-2980.2010.00476.x, PMID:21276207.
- [18] National Workshop on Fatty Liver and Alcoholic Liver Disease, Chinese Society of Hepatology, Chinese Medical Association, Fatty Liver Expert Committee, Chinese Medical Doctor Association. Guidelines of prevention and treatment for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A 2018 update. J Clin Hepatol 2018;34(5):947–957. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1001-5256.2018.05.007.
- [19] Nakano S, Kohsaka S, Chikamori T, Fukushima K, Kobayashi Y, Kozuma K, et al. JCS 2022 guideline focused update on diagnosis and treatment in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Circ J 2022;86(5):882–915. doi:10.1253/circj.CJ-21-1041, PMID:35283401.
- [20] Chen LZ, Ding HY, Liu SS, Liu Q, Jiang XJ, Xin YN, et al. Combining I148M and E167K variants to improve risk prediction for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in Qingdao Han population, China. Lipids Health Dis 2019;18(1):45. doi:10.1186/s12944-019-0992-9, PMID:30738435.

Song H. et al: MTHFR gene and the risk of CAD and NAFLD

- [21] Shen J, Zhang HQ, Ma CY, Lu FQ. A correlational study on MTHFR C667T and A1298C gene polymorphism and high homocysteine and coronary heart disease. Labeled Immunoassay Clin Med 2020;27(2):219– 223. doi:10.11748/bjmy.issn.1006-1703.2020.02.009.
- [22] Biselli PM, Guerzoni AR, Goloni-Bertollo EM, Godoy MF, Abou-Chahla JA, Pavarino-Bertelli EC. [MTHFR genetic variability on coronary artery disease development]. Rev Assoc Med Bras (1992) 2009;55(3):274– 278. doi:10.1590/s0104-42302009000300018, PMID:19629345.
- [23] Franco Brochado MJ, Domenici FA, Candolo Martinelli Ade L, Zucoloto S, de Carvalho da Cunha SF, Vannucchi H. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphism and serum homocysteine levels in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Ann Nutr Metab 2013;63(3):193– 199. doi:10.1159/000353139, PMID:24051448.
- [24] Catalano D, Trovato GM, Ragusa A, Martines GF, Tonzuso A, Pirri C, et al. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and MTHFR 1298A > C gene polymorphism. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2014;18(2):151– 159. PMID:24488901.
- [25] Long Y, Zhao XT, Liu C, Sun YY, Ma YT, Liu XY, et al. A case-control study of the association of the polymorphisms of MTHFR and APOE with risk factors and the severity of coronary artery disease. Cardiology 2019;142(3):149–157. doi:10.1159/000499866, PMID:31163415.
- [26] Jiao X, Luo Y, Yang B, Jing L, Li Y, Liu C, et al. The MTHFR C677T mutation is not a risk factor recognized for HBV-related HCC in a population with a high prevalence of this genetic marker. Infect Genet Evol 2017;49:66–72. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2017.01.008, PMID:28082187.
- [27] Luo Z, Lu Z, Muhammad I, Chen Y, Chen Q, Zhang J, et al. Associations of the MTHFR rs1801133 polymorphism with coronary artery disease and lipid levels: a systematic review and updated meta-analysis. Lipids Health Dis 2018;17(1):191. doi:10.1186/s12944-018-0837-y, PMID:30115070.
- [28] Sun J, Xu Y, Zhu Y, Lu H. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphism, homocysteine and risk of macroangiopathy in Type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Endocrinol Invest 2006;29(9):814–820. doi:10.1007/BF03347376, PMID:17114913.
- [29] Raza ST, Abbas S, Ahmed F, Fatima J, Zaidi ZH, Mahdi F. Association of MTHFR and PPARγ2 gene polymorphisms in relation to type 2 diabetes mellitus cases among north Indian population. Gene 2012;511(2):375– 379. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2012.09.072, PMID:23036708.
- [30] Wang H, Hu C, Xiao SH, Wan B. Association of tagging SNPs in the MTH-FR gene with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus and serum homocysteine levels in a Chinese population. Dis Markers 2014;2014:725731. doi:10.1155/2014/725731, PMID:25165408.
- [31] Al-Rubeaan K, Siddiqui K, Saeb AT, Nazir N, Al-Naqeb D, Al-Qasim S. ACE I/D and MTHFR C677T polymorphisms are significantly associated with type 2 diabetes in Arab ethnicity: a meta-analysis. Gene 2013;520(2):166–177. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2013.02.017, PMID:2345 8876.
- [32] Benrahma H, Abidi O, Melouk L, Ajjemami M, Rouba H, Chadli A, et al. Association of the C677T polymorphism in the human methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) gene with the genetic predisposition for type 2 diabetes mellitus in a Moroccan population. Genet Test Mol Biomarkers 2012;16(5):383–387. doi:10.1089/ gtmb.2011.0179, PMID:22103601.
- [33] Zhu B, Wu X, Zhi X, Liu L, Zheng Q, Sun G. Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T polymorphism and type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese population: a meta-analysis of 29 case-control studies. PLoS One 2014;9(7):e102443. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102443, PMID:25047451.
- [34] Meng Y, Liu X, Ma K, Zhang L, Lu M, Zhao M, et al. Association of MTH-FR C677T polymorphism and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) susceptibility. Mol Genet Genomic Med 2019;7(12):e1020. doi:10.1002/ mgg3.1020, PMID:31663297.